

ORIGIN	IAL
M.A.P.U.C. Case No. DE	12-055
Exhibit No. <u>#2</u>	
Witness Pangl'	aran arasa mana mana mana mana mana mana mana m
NO ALL HOUSING	

April 11, 2012

BY E-MAIL

Suzanne Amidon, Staff Attorney New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission 21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10 Concord, NH 03301-2429

RE: Responses to Staff Tech Session Data Requests Docket No. DE 12-055

Dear Attorney Amidon:

On behalf of Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. ("UES"), enclosed are the Company's responses to the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission Staff's tech session data requests in the above-referenced docket.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions concerning these responses. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Gary Epler Attorney for Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.

Enclosures

cc: Steve Mullen (via email) Michael D. Cannata (via email)

Gary Epler Chief Regulatory Counsel

6 Liberty Lane West Hampton, NH 03842-1720

Phone: 603-773-6440 Fax: 603-773-6640 Email: epler@unitil.com

Received: April 4, 2012 Request No. Staff 1-1 Date of Response: April 11, 2012 Witness: Kevin Sprague

Request Staff 1-1:

Please provide a list of all 2011 REP projects that were not completed by the end of 2011 and will carry over into 2012.

Response:

There were 5 projects identified in the budget as REP projects which were started in 2011 but will not be finished until 2012. A description of the projects is shown below.

Proiect	Amount	Authorized		
	Spent	Amount		
Replace 1H3 Breaker (Capital) – Replace the 1H3 breaker at Bridge Street substation, repair/replace its concrete pad and re- establish SCADA control to the control for the recloser that was installed as the 1H3 replacement. – Asset Replacement	\$18,700	\$53,300		
Replace 13X4 Recloser (Capital) – Replace the 13X4 breaker at Boscawen substation and re-establish SCADA control to the replacement recloser. – Asset Replacement	\$25,100	\$68,400		
Replace 7X2 Recloser (Seacoast) - Replace the 7X2 circuit recloser with a three phase electronically controlled recloser with single phase tripping and lockout capabilities and re-establish SCADA control. – Reliability Improvement	\$82,500	\$100,000		
Exeter Substation Replace LTC Control (Seacoast) - Replace the LTC controls at Exeter Substation with new electronic controls. – Asset Replacement	\$39,400	\$58,600		
Replace 13X3 Recloser – Replace the existing 13X3 solid dielectric recloser due to tracking concerns identified during substation inspection and re-establish SCADA control. – Asset Replacement	\$47,400	\$70,000		
Totals	\$213,100	\$350,300		

Received: April 4, 2012 Request No. Staff 1-2

Date of Response: April 11, 2012 Witness: Sara Sankowich

Request Staff 1-1:

Please provide a description of what Unitil means by the term "Enhanced Tree Trimming" with respect to the filing.

Response:

In this filing, "Enhanced Tree Trimming" refers to reliability driven tree pruning and removal beyond normal maintenance work, such as aggressive pruning or expanded hazard tree removal, as stated on Page 12 of the filing, copied below.

As described in Mr. Meissner's Direct Testimony in Docket DE 10-05511, the REP covers capital and O&M activities and projects intended to maintain or improve the reliability of the electric system including: (1) system hardening measures, i.e., equipment upgrades; installation of additional fuses, sectionalizers and reclosers; SCADA and automation projects; improvements to lightning protection; installation of animal guards; and other activities to mitigate the specific causes of outages; (2) enhanced tree trimming, i.e., aggressive trimming and clearing involving an expanded trim zone or more aggressive removal beyond what is normally included in maintenance trimming, typically in localized areas of poor reliability;...

Received: April 4, 2012 Request No. Staff 1-3 Date of Response: April 11, 2012 Witness: Kevin Sprague

Request Staff 1-3:

Reference Figure 2 on page 22 of 24 of the filing. Please provide similar graphs showing UES Capital and UES Seacoast separately.

Response:

The graphs showing the individual historical reliability performance for UES Capital and UES Seacoast for the years 2000 – 2011 are attached. The same exclusionary criteria were applied for these charts as in Figure 2 of the original filing.

DE 12-055 Staff Tech Session 1-3 Attachment 1



and the second



Received: April 4, 2012 Request No. Staff 1-4

Date of Response: April 11, 2012 Witness: Kevin Sprague

Request Staff 1-4:

Reference Table 18 on page 24 of 24 of the filing. Please provide a similar table showing UES Capital and UES Seacoast separately.

Response:

Please refer to attachment labeled Staff 1-4 Attachment 1 for the Reliability Statistics by cause for UES Capital and UES Seacoast individually.

DE 12-055 Staff Tech Session 1-4 Attachment 1 Page 1 of 2

Reliability Statistics by Trouble Cause

UES - Capital

Causa	No of Troubles	Customer	Customer	SAIDI	% of Total	SAIFI	% of Total
Date The Altern	TTOUDIES	110415	merruptions	SAIDI	Total	SAILI	IUtai
Broken Tree/Limb	202	35,988.24	28,461	72.41	34%	0.954	40%
Equipment Failure - Company	65	22,127.50	16,155	44.41	21%	0.541	23%
Other	3	14,119.70	3,198	28.52	13%	0.108	5%
Squirrel	122	13,660.85	8,482	27.49	13%	0.284	12%
Tree/Limb Contact - Growth into Line	72	10,469.75	6,244	21.07	10%	0.209	9%
Patrolled, Nothing Found	44	2,888.61	2,474	5.81	3%	0.083	3%
Equipment Failure – Customer	2	2,609.68	1,024	5.25	2%	0.034	1%
Vehicle Accident	17	1,944.40	1,174	3.91	2%	0.039	2%
Loose/Failed Connection	10	1,079.24	1,573	2.17	1%	0.053	2%
Bird	11	747.88	2,049	1.50	1%	0.069	3%
Lightning Strike	8	213.47	142	0.43	0%	0.005	0%
Action by Others	7	156.46	135	0.31	0%	0.005	0%
Overload	5	43.42	24	0.09	0%	0.001	0%
Civil Emergency (fire, etc.)	2	21.75	7	0.04	0%	0.000	0%
Animal – Other	1	1.25	1	0.00	0%	0.000	0%
Corrosion/Contamination/ Decay	1	0.50	1	0.00	0%	0.000	0%
Improper Installation	0	-		-	0%	0.000	0%
Totals	572	106,072.67	71,144	213.42	100%	2.386	100%

DE 12-055 Staff Tech Session 1-4 Attachment 1 Page 2 of 2

Reliability Statistics by Trouble Cause

s., • ¹

UES - Seacoast

Cause	No of Troubles	Customer	Customer	SAIDI	% of Total	SAIFI	% of Total
Broken Tree/Limb	Troubles	nours	interruptions	SAIDI	TUTAL	SAILI	Totai
	158	59.734.32	27,753	79.33	47%	0.614	· 41%
Equipment Failure -				19100		0.011	
Company	68	27,558.25	15,511	36.60	22%	0.343	23%
Vehicle Accident	· ·						
	22	13,509.18	5,636	17.94	11%	0.125	8%
Tree/Limb Contact - Growth							
into Line	58	6,490.79	3,140	8.62	5%	0.069	5%
Other							
	19	4,355.80	6,136	5.78	3%	0.136	9%
Lightning Strike	20	4 100 44	- 1 Å-				
Defuelled Nething Dec. 1	30	4,105.44	2,175	5.45		0.048	3%
Patrolled, Nothing Found	44	4 056 04	2 020	5 20	20/	0.065	10/
Loose/Failed Connection	77	4,000.04	2,929	5.59	570	0.005	470
Loose, and Connection	12	2,900.40	1.639	3.85	2%	0.036	2%
Squirrel			.,				
•	27	2,315.95	1,373	3.08	2%	0.030	2%
Action by Others			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	15	1,698.52	986	2.26	1%	0.022	1%
Bird							
	18	414.63	215	0.55	0%	0.005	0%
Overload		100.10					
	15	400.68	237 .	0.53	0%	0.005	0%
Animal - Other	1	26.25	21	0.02	0.04	0.000	
Civil Emergency (fine etc.)	1	20.25	21	0.03	0%	0.000	0%
Civit Emergency (fire, etc.)	2	20.75	15	0.03		0.000	0%
Corrosion/Contamination/		20.75	15	0.05	070	0.000	070
Decay	2	6.08	6	0.01	0%	0.000	0%
Equipment Failure -					<u>,,,</u>	0.000	
Customer	2	3.27	6	0.00	0%	0.000	0%
Improper Installation							
	1	2.00	12	0.00	0%	0.000	0%
Totals	494	127,598.36	67,790	169.45	100%	1.500	100%